OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 S010-103 Approved For Release 2004/06/29 : CIA-RDP86B00269R000400070006-4

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

## Memorandum

TO : Colonel White

DATE: 16 April 1971

25X1



SUBJECT: Attached paper

What this paper has to say about matters that concern me directly, especially Paragraph 19, seems very much to the point. Also, in the treatment of the larger issues -- as in the paragraphs under "Another Option" redefining the DCI's role and authority -- I see no problem for the mission of National Estimates as it has existed and as the authors of "A Review" apparently have in mind for it to continue to exist. In fact, if the feature of your proposal which gives the DCI authority to determine priorities in collection and analysis is put into effect, we might get more effective participation from Community agencies in estimates work.

NRO review(s) completed.
Approved For Release 2004/06/29 : CIA-RDP86B00269R000400070006-4

16 April 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director-Comptroller

SUBJECT: Remarks About "Comments on a Review

of the Intelligence Community"

1. I studied your proposed paper to the DCI last night and offer the following comments as you requested.

organization along the lines of my earlier paper will ultimately be needed, I understand that this may not be the right time and thus do not disagree with the tone of your review. Your paper does not, however, make any specific reference to one matter which I consider critical; namely, the future role of the Agency in technical collection. The OMB Option 2 would take us totally out of that business. I consider that most unwise.

3. While slight changes, such as making the stronger DCI the Chairman of the Executive Committee, would be advisable, I think we should hold the line on any move to get us out of the reconnaissance business. As justifications would cite the high degree of success we have enjoyed in those programs conducted by the Agency, and the fact that steps have already been taken to regulate and control these expenditures at the national level. Put another way, it might be well to consider extending the NRO type mechanism to more of the Community's activities rather than eliminate the one

25X



system which I believe is working well.

4. I have a meeting scheduled with the Senate Armed Services Staff this morning and simply did not have time to work out a draft of my specific suggestions for your paper, but I would hope some reference to the foregoing subject might be included.

Carl E. Duckett
Deputy Director
for
Science and Technology

Responses from and Mr. Duckett regarding the White/Tweedy paper entitled "Comments on a Review of the Intelligence Community"

25X1

25X1

			<u>* 3                                   </u>		
E	NDER WILL CHE	CK CLASSIFICATION	TOP AND E	оттом	
	*UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDEN	TIAL	SECRET	
	OFFIC	CIAL ROUTING	SLIP		
то	NAME AND	ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS	
1	Executi	ve Director-C	omptrol	.ler	
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPAR	E REPLY	
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMI	MENDATION	
	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN		
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNAT	SIGNATURE	
l					

## Remarks:

Mr. Duckett had to leave for his meeting before this was completed, so he did not sign.

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER	
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.	DATE
	F